

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,923	06/07/2004	Min-Lung Huang	10788-US-PA	3922
0.000	7590 04/07/200 N INTELLECTUAL P	EXAMINER		
7 FLOOR-1, NO.). 100	CHAMBLISS, ALONZO		
ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIWAN			2892	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/07/2000	EL ECEDONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USA@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/709,923	HUANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alonzo Chambliss	2892	

-		7					
	Alonzo Chambliss	2892					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>2/4/08</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	NCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	nally set in the final Offic	ce action; or (2) as				
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	filed within two month	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	· ·	E below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	Od Ossalla de Mailes af Nav. Oss	!'(A (/	DTOL 204)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imaly filed emendmen	ot concoling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subifiilled iii a separale, i	illiely filed afficilities	it canceling the				
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will	l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	vided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief, v	vill not be				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
See Continuation Sheet.							
2. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13.							
/Alonzo Chambliss/							
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2892							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Degani clearly discloses a chip without bumps that is attached to contact pads of a substrate that already has bumps attached to the surface of the contacts pads. Therefore, the references in the 103 rejection reads on the claimed invention. Furthermore, the reply filed 2/4/08 is improper because it is not limited to appeal or to amendment as specified in 37 CFR 1.113: 37 CFR § 1.113 Final rejection or action. (a) On the second or any subsequent examination or consideration by the examiner the rejection or other action may be made final, whereupon applicants, or for ex parte reexaminations filed under § 1.510, patent owner's reply is limited to appeal in the case of rejection of any claim (§ 1.191), or to amendment as specified in §1.114 or § 1.116. Petition may be taken to the Commissioner in the case of objections or requirements not involved in the rejection of any claim (§ 1.181). Reply to a final rejection or action must comply with § 1.114 or paragraph (c) of this section. For final actions in an inter partes reexamination filed under § 1.913, see § 1.953. See also MPEP 714.13: Except where an amendment merely cancels claims, adopts examiner suggestions, removes issues for appeal, or in some other way requires only a cursory review by the examiner, compliance with the requirement of a showing under 37 CFR 1,116(c) is expected in all amendments after final rejection. Failure to properly reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to the final rejection results in abandonment. A reply under 37 CFR 1.113 is limited to: (A) an amendment complying with 37 CFR 1.116; (B) a Notice of Appeal (and appeal fee); or (C) a request for continued examination (RCE) filed under 37 CFR 1.114 with a submission (i.e., an amendment that meets the reply requirement of 3~ CFR 1.111) and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e). RCE practice under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to utility or plant patent applications filed before June 8, 1995 and design applications. Further examination of the application may be obtained by filing a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d), if appropriate. See MPEP § 201.06(d). Further see MPEP 1205, last paragraph: Failure to remove all grounds of rejection and otherwise place an application in condition for allowance or to file an appeal after final rejection will result in the application becoming abandoned, even if one or more claims have been allowed, except where claims suggested for interference have been copied.